# CE 414 Prestressed Concrete

## Lesson 6 Design of Section for Flexure

#### By Md. Abu Hasan



### REFERENCE



#### **Book Chapter**

• Prestressed Concrete- by T.Y. Lin

#### Preliminary Design

Preliminary design of prestressed-concrete sections for flexure can be performed by a very simple procedure, based on a knowledge of the internal C-T couple acting in the section. In practice the depth h of the section is either given, known, or assumed, as is the total moment  $M_T$  on the section. Under the working load, the lever arm for the internal couple could vary between 30 to 80% of the overall height h and averages about 0.65h. Hence the required effective prestress F can be computed from the equation

$$F = T = \frac{M_T}{0.65h} \tag{6-1}$$

if we assume the lever arm to be 0.65h, Fig. 6-1. If the effective unit prestress is  $f_{i}$  for the steel, then the area of steel required is

$$A_{ps} = \frac{F}{f_{se}} = \frac{M_T}{0.65hf_{se}}$$
(6-2)

The total prestress  $A_{ps} f_{se}$  is also the force C on the section. This force will produce an average unit stress on the concrete of

$$\frac{C}{A_c} = \frac{T}{A_c} = \frac{A_{ps}f_{se}}{A_c}$$

The top fiber stress,  $f_c$ , under working loads following ACI Code is  $0.45f'_c$ , Fig. 6-1. Table 1-2, Chapter 1, summarizes permissible stresses in steel and concrete for prestressed concrete members. For preliminary design, the average stress can be assumed to be about 50% of the maximum allowable stress  $f_c$ , under the working load. Hence,

$$\frac{A_{ps}f_{se}}{A_c} = 0.50f_c$$

$$A_c = \frac{A_{ps}f_{se}}{0.50f_c}$$
(6-3)

Note that in the above procedure the only approximations made are the



Fig. 6-1. Preliminary design of a beam section.

coefficients of 0.65 and 0.50. These coefficients vary widely, depending on the shape of the section. However, with experience and knowledge, they can be closely approximated for each particular section, and the preliminary design can be made rather accurately.

The above procedure is based on the design for working load, with little or no tension in the concrete. Preliminary designs can also be made on the basis of ultimate strength theories with proper load factors. Such an alternative procedure will be discussed in section 6-7.

### **Design Criteria**

If  $M_G/M_T > 30\%$  use equation of F for  $M_T$  and design T section

If  $M_G/M_T < 20\%$  use equation of F for M<sub>L</sub> and design I section

If  $20\% < M_G/M_T < 30\%$  use both equation of F for  $M_T$  and  $M_L$  and consider largest value of F and design section accordingly

if F is the largest for  $M_T$  then design T section if F is the largest for  $M_L$  then design I section

### Example 6.1 (T.Y. Lin)

Make a preliminary design for section of a prestressed-concrete beam to resist a total moment of 320 k-ft (434 kN-m). The overall depth of the section is given as 36 in (914.4 mm). The effective prestress for steel is 125,000 psi (862 N/mm<sup>2</sup>), and allowable stress for concrete under working load is -1600 psi (-11.03 N/mm<sup>2</sup>).

Solution From equations 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3,

 $F = T = M_T / 0.65h$ = (320×12)/(0.65×36) = 164 k (729.5 kN)  $A_{ps} = F / f_{ss} = 164 / 125 = 1.31 \text{ sq in } (845 \text{ mm}^2)$  $A_c = 164 / (0.5 \times 1.60) = 205 \text{ sq in.} (132 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$ 

Now a preliminary section can be sketched with a total concrete area of about 205 sq in.  $(132 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$ , a height of 36 in. (914.4 mm), and a steel area of 1.31 sq in. (845 mm<sup>2</sup>) Such a section is shown in Fig. 6-2. A T-section is chosen here because it is an economical shape when  $M_G/M_T$  ratio is large.

### Example 6.1 (T.Y. Lin)

### For T section

Ac = area of flange + area of web =bxt + (h-t)x t

### For T section

Ac = bxt + (h-t)xt

- => 205 = bx4+ (36-4)x4 [Let, t=4 in.]
- $\Rightarrow$  b =19.25 in
- $\Rightarrow$  Say, b = 19in or 19.5 in



#### Example 6.1 (T.Y. Lin)



Large Ratios of  $M_G/M_T$ . When the ratio of  $M_G/M_T$  is large, the value of  $e - k_b$  computed from equation 6-6 may place c.g.s. outside of the practical limit, for example, below the section of the beam. Then it is necessary to place the c.g.s. only as low as practicable and design accordingly.



Fig. 6-9. Stress distribution, no tension in concrete (large ratios of  $M_G/M_I$ ).

Step 1. From the preliminary section, compute the theoretical location for c.g.s. by

$$e - k_b = M_G / F_0$$

- If it is feasible to locate c.g.s. as indicated by this equation, follow the first procedure. If not, locate c.g.s. at the practical lower limit and proceed as follows.
- Step 2. Compute F (and then  $F_0$ ) by

$$F = \frac{M_T}{e+k_t}$$

Step 3. Compute the required area by equations 6-9a and 6-10.

. .

. ..

· •

$$A_{c} = Fh/f_{t}c_{b}$$

$$A_{c} = \frac{F_{0}}{f_{b}} \left(1 + \frac{e - (M_{G}/F_{0})}{k_{t}}\right)$$

.

Step 4. Use the greater of the two  $A_c$ 's and the new value of F, and revise the preliminary section. Repeat steps 1 through 4 if necessary.

#### Example 6.4 (T.Y. Lin)

Make final design for the preliminary section obtained in example 6-1,  $M_G = 210$  k-ft, allowing  $f_b = -1.80$  ksi,  $f_0 = 150$  ksi. Other values given were  $M_T = 320$  k-ft; h = 36 in.;  $f_{cs} = 125$  ksi;  $f_s = -1.60$  ksi.

The preliminary section is shown in Fig. 6-10, with  $A_c = 200$ sq in.,  $c_i = 13.5$  in.,  $c_b = 22.5$  in., I = 26,000 in.<sup>4</sup>,  $k_i = 5.8$  in.,  $k_b = 9.6$  in., F = 164 k,  $F_0 = 164(150/125) = 197$  k ( $M_G = 285$  kN-m,  $f_b = -12.41$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>,  $f_0 = 1034$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>;  $M_T = 434$  kN-m, h = 914.4 mm,  $f_{se} = 862$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>;  $f_i = -11.03$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>,  $A_c = 129 \times 10^3$ mm,  $c_i = 343$  mm;  $c_b = 572$  mm,  $I = 10.82 \times 10^9$  mm<sup>4</sup>,  $k_i = 147$  mm;  $k_b = 244$  mm, F = 730kN, and  $F_0 = 876$  kN).

#### Example 6.4 (T.Y. Lin)



#### **Example 6.4 (T.Y. Lin)** Solution:

 $M_G/M_T = 210/320 = 0.656 = 65.6\%$ , which is > 30%, So design T section

Fo = F (fo / fse) = 164 x (150/125) = 197 k

Step I. Theoretical lowest location for c.g.s. is given by

 $e - k_b = M_G/F_0$ = (210×12)/197

= 12.8 in. (325 mm) So, e= 12.8+9.6 = 22.4 in

indicating 12.8 in. (325 mm) below the bottom kern, or 0.1 in. (2.54 mm) above the bottom fiber, which is obviously impossible. Suppose that for practical reasons the c.g.s. has to be kept 3 in. (76.2 mm) above the bottom fiber to provide sufficient concrete protection. This problem then belongs to the second case, and we proceed as below.

Step 2. The effective prestress required is, corresponding to a lever arm of  $e+k_i = 22.5-3+5.8=25.3$  in. (643 mm),  $\bullet^{\bullet}$ 

 $F = (320 \times 12)/25.3 = 152 \text{ k} (676 \text{ kN})$  $F_0 = 152(150/125) = 182 \text{ k} (810 \text{ kN})$ 

#### Example 6.4 (T.Y. Lin)

Step 3. Compute the area required by ٠.  $A_{c} = \frac{Fh}{f_{c}c_{b}}$  $=\frac{152\times36}{1.60\times22.5}$  $= 152 \text{ sq in.} (98 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$  $A_{c} = \frac{F_{0}}{f_{k}} \left( 1 + \frac{e - (M_{G}/F_{0})}{k} \right)$  $=\frac{182}{1.80}\left(1+\frac{19.5-210\times12/182}{5.8}\right)$  $= 199 \text{ sq in.} (128 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$ 

#### Example 6.4 (T.Y. Lin)

### For T section

Ac = bxt + (h-t)x t

- => 199 = bx4+ (36-4)x4 [Let, t=4 in.]
- $\Rightarrow$  b = 17.75 in

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Say, b = 18 in



In estimating the depth of a prestressed section, an approximate rule is to use 70% of the corresponding depth for conventional reinforced-concrete construction. Some other empirical rules are also available. For example, the thickness of prestressed slabs may vary from L/35 for heavy loads to L/55 for light loads. The depth of beams of the usual proportions can be approximated by the following formula.

$$h = k\sqrt{M}$$

where h = depth of beam in inches M = maximum bending moment in k-ft k = a coefficient varying from 1.5 to 2.0

It is needless to add that such empirical rules apply only under the average conditions and should be used merely as a preliminary guide.

A more accurate preliminary design can be made if the girder moment  $M_G$  is known in addition to the total moment  $M_T$ . When  $M_G$  is much greater than 20 to 30% of  $M_T$ , the initial condition under  $M_G$  generally will not control the design, and the preliminary design needs be made only for  $M_T$ . When  $M_G$  is small relative to  $M_T$ , then the c.g.s. cannot be located too far outside the kern point, and the design is controlled by  $M_L = M_T - M_G$ . In this case, the resisting lever arm for  $M_L$  is given approximately by  $k_1 + k_b$ , which averages about 0.50*h*. Hence the total effective prestress required is

$$F = \frac{M_L}{0.50h} \tag{6-4}$$

When  $M_G/M_T$  is small, this equation should be used instead of equation 6-1. Equation 6-3 is still applicable.

It is needless to add that such empirical rules apply only under the average conditions and should be used merely as a preliminary guide.

A more accurate preliminary design can be made if the girder moment  $M_G$  is known in addition to the total moment  $M_T$ . When  $M_G$  is much greater than 20 to 30% of  $M_T$ , the initial condition under  $M_G$  generally will not control the design, and the preliminary design needs be made only for  $M_T$ . When  $M_G$  is small relative to  $M_T$ , then the c.g.s. cannot be located too far outside the kern point, and the design is controlled by  $M_L = M_T - M_G$ . In this case, the resisting lever arm for  $M_L$  is given approximately by  $k_1 + k_b$ , which averages about 0.50*h*. Hence the total effective prestress required is

$$F = \frac{M_L}{0.50h} \tag{6-4}$$

When  $M_G/M_T$  is small, this equation should be used instead of equation 6-1. Equation 6-3 is still applicable.

#### Example 6.2 (T.Y. Lin)

Make a preliminary design for the beam section in example 6-1, with  $M_T = 320$  k-ft,  $M_G = 40$  k-ft, h = 36 in.,  $f_{se} = 125,000$  psi, and  $f_c = -1600$  psi ( $M_T = 434$  kN-m,  $M_G = 54$  kN-m, h = 914.4 mm,  $f_{se} = 862$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and  $f_c = -11.03$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>).

Solution Since  $M_G$  is only 12% of  $M_T$ , it is not likely that the c.g.s. can be located much outside the kern. Hence it will be more nearly correct to apply equation 6-4. Thus,

$$M_L = M_T - M_G = 320 - 40$$
  
= 280 k-ft (380 kN-m)  
$$F = M_L / 0.50h = 280 \times 12 / (0.50 \times 36)$$
  
= 187 k (832 kN)

### Example 6.2 (T.Y. Lin)

Applying the first part of formula 6-2 and also formula 6-3, we have

 $A_{ps} = F/f_{se} = 187/125$ = 1.50 sq in. (968 mm<sup>2</sup>)  $A_e = A_{ps} f_{se}/0.50 f_e = 187/(0.50 \times 1.60)$ = 234 sq in. (151 × 10<sup>3</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>)

Now a preliminary section can be sketched with a total concrete area of about 234 sq in.  $(151 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$ , a height of 36 in. (914.4 mm), and a steel area of 1.50 sq in. (968 mm<sup>2</sup>), as shown in Fig. 6-3. An I-section is chosen because it is a suitable form when the  $M_G/M_T$  ratio is small.

### Example 6.2 (T.Y. Lin)

### For I section

Ac = 2xarea of flange + area of web =2xbxt + (h-2t)x t

### For I section

- Ac = 2xbxt + (h-2t)xt
- => 234 = 2xbx4+ (36-2x4)x4 [Let, t=4 in.]
- $\Rightarrow$  b =15.25 in
- $\Rightarrow$  Say, b = 15 in or 15.5 in



#### Example 6.2 (T.Y. Lin)



#### Example 6.2 (T.Y. Lin)

When it is not known whether  $M_T$  or  $M_L$  should govern the design, one convenient way is to apply both equations 6-1 and 6-4, and use the greater of the two values of F. For example, if  $M_G = 80$  k-ft (108 kN-m) in example 6-1, we have, from equation 6-1,

$F = M_T / 0.65h$	
$=(320 \times 12)/(0.65 \times 36)$	Here, $M_G/M_T = 0.25$
= 164  k (730  kN)	<i>i.e.</i> 25%

From equation 6-4, we have

 $F = M_L / 0.50h$ = [(320 - 80)12]/(0.50 × 36) = 160 k (712 kN)

F = 164 k (730 kN) controls the design.

Small Ratios of  $M_G/M_T$ . For the section obtained from the preliminary design, the values of  $M_G$ ,  $k_i$ ,  $k_b$ ,  $A_c$  are computed. When the ratio of  $M_G/M_T$  is small, c.g.s. is located outside the kern just as much as the  $M_G$  will allow. Since no tension is permitted in the concrete, c.g.s. will be located below the kern



Fig. 6-7. Stress distribution, no tension in concrete (small ratios of  $M_G/M_T$ ).

## PRELIMINARY DESIGN (CONCENTRIC TENDON)

To summarize the procedure of design, we have:

Step 1. From the preliminary design section, locate c.g.s. by

$$e - k_b = M_G / F_0$$

Step 2. With the above location of c.g.s., compute the effective prestress F (and then the initial prestress  $F_0$ ) by

$$F = \frac{M_T}{e+k_t}$$

 $A_{b} = F_{0}h/f_{b}c_{t}$ 

Step 3. Compute the required  $A_c$  by

and

$$A_c = Fh/f_i c_b$$

Step 4. Revise the preliminary section to meet the above requirements for F and  $A_c$ . Repeat steps 1 through 4 if necessary.

From the above discussion, the following observations regarding the properties of a section can be made.

- 1. e+k, is a measure of the total moment-resisting capacity of the beam section. Hence the greater this value, the more desirable is the section.
- 2.  $e-k_b$  locates the c.g.s. for the section, and is determined by the value of  $M_G$ . Thus, within certain limits, the amount of  $M_G$  does not seriously affect the capacity of the section for carrying  $M_L$ .
- 3.  $h/c_b$  is the ratio of the maximum top fiber stress to the average stress on the section under working load. Thus, the smaller this ratio, the lower will be the maximum top fiber stress.
- 4.  $h/c_i$  is the ratio of the maximum bottom fiber stress to the average stress on the section at transfer. Hence, the smaller this ratio, the lower will be the maximum bottom fiber stress.

#### Example 6.3 (T.Y. Lin)

For the preliminary section obtained in example 6-2, make a final design, allowing  $f_b = -1.80$  ksi,  $f_0 = 150$  ksi. Other given values were:  $M_T = 320$  k-ft;  $M_G = 40$  k-ft;  $f_i =$ -1.60 ksi;  $f_{se} = 125$  ksi; F = 187 k. And the preliminary section is the same as in Fig. 6-3  $(f_b = -12.41 \text{ N/mm}^2, f_0 = 1034 \text{ N/mm}^2, M_T = 434 \text{ kN-m}, M_G = 54 \text{ kN-m}, f_i = -11.03$  $N/mm^2$ , f. = 862 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and F=832 kN).

Solution For the trial preliminary section, compute the properties as follows

$$A_{c} = 2 \times 4 \times 15 + 4 \times 28 = 232 \text{ sq in.} (150 \times 10^{3} \text{ mm}^{2})$$

$$I = \frac{15 \times 36^{3}}{12} - \frac{11 \times 28^{3}}{12}$$

$$= 58,200 - 20,100$$

$$= 38,100 \text{ in.}^{4} (15.86 \times 10^{9} \text{ mm}^{4})$$

$$r^{2} = 38,100/232$$

$$= 164 \text{ in.}^{2} (106 \times 10^{3} \text{ mm}^{2})$$

$$k_{t} = k_{b} = 164/18 = 9.1 \text{ in.} (231 \text{ mm})$$

$$Here, r^{2} = \frac{1}{A}$$

$$k_{t} = \frac{r^{2}}{c_{t}}$$

$$k_{b} = \frac{r^{2}}{c_{b}}$$

 $c_t$ 

 $r^2$ 

 $C_{h}$ 

#### Example 6.3 (T.Y. Lin)

Step 1. For an assumed F= 187 k (832 kN)  $F_0 = \frac{150}{125} \, 187 = 225 \, \mathrm{k} \, (1001 \, \mathrm{kN})$  Fo = F (fo / fse) c.g.s. should be located at  $e-k_b$  below the bottom kern, where  $e - k_b = \frac{M_G}{F_c} = \frac{40 \times 12}{225} = 2.1 \text{ in.} (53 \text{ mm})$ e=9.1+2.1=11.2 in. (285 mm) Step 2. Effective prestress required is recomputed as  $F = \frac{M_T}{e+k_t} = \frac{320 \times 12}{11.2 + 9.1}$ =189 k (841 kN) $\mathbf{Fo} = \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{fo} / \mathbf{fse})$  $F_0 = \frac{150}{125} 189 = 227 \text{ k} (1010 \text{ kN})$ 

#### Example 6.3 (T.Y. Lin)

Step 3. A, required is  $F_0h$  $A_c =$ f,c,  $=\frac{227\times36}{1.80\times18}$ = 252 sq in.  $(163 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$  controlling Fh f, Cb 189×36  $1.60 \times 18$  $= 236 \text{ sq in.} (152 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^2)$ 

### Example 6.3 (T.Y. Lin)

### For I section

Ac =2xbxt + (h-2t)x t

- => 252 = 2xbx4+ (36-2x4)x4 [Let, t=4 in.]
- $\Rightarrow$  b = 17.5 in
- $\Rightarrow$  Say, b = 17.5 in



