Propositional Equivalences Discrete Mathematics — CSE 131

Definitions: Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency

Definition

A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occur in it, is called a **tautology**.

Definition

A compound proposition that is always false, no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occur in it, is called a **contradiction**.

Definition

A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a **contingency**.

A (10) × (10)

The compound proposition $p \lor \neg p$ is a tautology because it is always true.

р	$\neg p$	$p \lor \neg p$
Т	F	Т
\mathbf{F}	Т	Т

(日) (四) (三) (三)

The compound proposition $p \land \neg p$ is a contradiction because it is always false.

р	$p \mid \neg p \mid p \land$	
Т	F	F
\mathbf{F}	Т	\mathbf{F}

(日) (四) (三) (三)

Definition

The compound propositions p and q are called **logically** equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology. The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

Note: The notation $p \Leftrightarrow q$ is also commonly used.

The following truth table shows that the biconditional statement $(\neg p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ is always true no matter what the truth values of the propositions p and q.

р	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$(\neg p \lor q) {\leftrightarrow} (p { ightarrow} q)$
Т	Т	F	Т	Т	Т
Т	\mathbf{F}	F	F	F	Т
\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т

Therefore $(\neg p \lor q) \equiv (p \rightarrow q)$. This equivalence is called the **disjunctive normal form of the implication** (DNFI).

(a)

Augustus De Morgan



Born on June 27, 1806 in Madras, India. Died on Mars 18, 1871 in London, England.

www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/

"history/Mathematicians/

De_Morgan.html

The compound propositions $\neg(p \lor q)$ and $\neg p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

р	q	$p \lor q$	$\neg(p \lor q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \land \neg q$	$ egin{aligned} end{aligned} e$
_							$(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F	Т
Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	F	Т
\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	F	Т	F	F	Т
\mathbf{F}	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т

Therefore $\neg(p \lor q) \equiv (\neg p \land \neg q)$.

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

The compound propositions $\neg(p \land q)$ and $\neg p \lor \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

р	q	$p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \land q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \lor \neg q$	$ egin{aligned} end{aligned} e$
_							$(\neg p \lor \neg q)$
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F	Т
Т	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т

Therefore $\neg(p \land q) \equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q)$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge T \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \lor F \equiv p$	
$p \lor T \equiv T$	Domination laws
$p \wedge F \equiv F$	
$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$p \wedge p \equiv p$	
$ eg(eg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \lor \neg p \equiv T$	Negation laws
$p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$	

10

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) 三

Logical Equivalences (continued)

Equivalence	Name
$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	
$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$	Associative laws
$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	
$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$	Distributive laws
$p \wedge (q \lor r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \lor (p \wedge r)$	
$ egic{} egi$	De Morgan's laws
$ eg (p \lor q) \equiv (\neg p \land \neg q)$	
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \wedge (p \lor q) \equiv p$	

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

3

Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q \quad (DNFI)$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \quad (contrapositive)$$

$$p \lor q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \land q \equiv \neg (p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \land r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \lor (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \land q) \rightarrow r$$

12

臣

Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditionals

$$egin{aligned} p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv (p
ightarrow q) \wedge (q
ightarrow p) \ p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \ p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \lor (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \ \neg (p &\leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \end{aligned}$$

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

臣

Definition

A compound proposition is said to be in **disjunctive normal form** if it is a disjunction of conjunctions of the variables or their negations.

For example: $(p \land q \land r) \lor (\neg p \land q \land \neg r) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q \land r)$.

Let a compound proposition that uses n propositional variables. This compound proposition is logically equivalent to a disjunctive normal form. Indeed, it is sufficient to write a conjunction for each combination of truth values for which the compound proposition is true.

(日) (四) (三) (三)

Disjunctive Normal Form

The truth table of the compound proposition

 $(p \lor \neg q)
ightarrow (p \land q)$

is given by

р	q	$\neg q$	$p \lor \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \lor \neg q) ightarrow (p \land q)$
Т	Т	F	Т	Т	Т
Т	\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	F	F
\mathbf{F}	Т	F	F	F	Т
\mathbf{F}	F	Т	Т	F	F

From the first and the third row, this compound proposition is logically equivalent to the disjunctive normal form:

$$(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q).$$

(4回) (三) (三)

Definition

A collection of logical operators is called **functionally complete** if every compound proposition is logically equivalent to a compound proposition involving only these logical operators.

For example, because any compound proposition is equivalent to a disjunctive normal form, then the collection of logical operators $\{\vee, \wedge, \neg\}$ is functionally complete.